App. F. IX-4

1931.

File No. 172-32

Serial Nos. 1 6

Previous References

Mys 204-31 1-3.
" 187-19-4-3
" 125-0, 1
" 318-0, 13

~~*Later References*~~

Mys 352-13-1-6
Police 202-14-1-40.
Mys 92-19-1-7
" 95-30-1-7
Secy 74-49-1-2
Police 9-1-42

Late Refs

Mys 119-33-1-4.
44-36-1-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 6.

Part B for February 33.

SUBJECT.

Grant of honours to the Swamigalu of Sri Thirumakkudlu Mutt.

To H.E. Mys Comr: I.G. of Police, Chief Commandant Troops, Dy Comr Bangalore Dist. District Magistrate, Dist. & Municipal Commissioner Bangalore City, through the President Municipal Council Bangalore.

No. 1132 45 of 31-1-33

Sanctions the grant of certain honours

Grant of certain honours to the Swamiyaru of Sri Bhimanakatte Mutt

[App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat | M/163 | File No. 172 of 1931-32

Serial No.

Letter No. 841/31-32 dt. 7-7-32 from the Mysore Commissioner requesting sanction to the Deputy Commissioner's recommendation for the grant of certain honours to the Swamiyaru of Sri Bhimanakatte Mutt and submitting for orders certain proposals for the reception of the Swamiyaru on his entry to Bangalore City.

(1) It is stated that it would be of use to ascertain from the M.C. the basis on which classification of the kind referred to in para 5 of the P.H.D. is made in Sri Bhimanakatte Mutt taking into consideration the

Mr does not appear to be necessary

manuscript copy of the Honours Manual received from the

Records

no mention is made of any other honours to this Mutt except Trishe Dayas' Pahakshas.

(3) Under the circumstances the recommendation of the M.C. (1) to grant the honour mentioned in para 4 of his letter (Marked A) & (2) about the reception of the Swamiyaru on his entry into Bangalore City (Marked B) is forwarded

include in the Pahadai

refer to paras 4 & 5 of the letter

Recd 13/7/32

(3) The recommendations made by the M.C. in the last two paras of the P.H.D. may, in the circumstances explained, be approved

13/7/32

Mr 13/7

for Secy

Lt. Col.

OFFICIAL 12 JULY 1932 A 376...

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8431

Please See para 8 of D's letter dt 2.32 to Mr
It is stated that that the Rajah could Carry
two or three insignias. It is not clear why the
question of allowing more insignias is Connected
with — When is the Scott Compilation
— which a list of honours is stated to be
an allowable to this Durbar? Of the list
found in the Compilation it should not be
difficult to find out the authority on which
the last two included in the list. This
Authority may also be traced out from

Mark
20.7.32
L
20/7

The Scott compilation referred to above is put up.
Vide page 5 of the same in respect of the honours × illegible
for the Sri Bhimanakatte Durbar. The
compilation is stated to have been prepared
when the Durbar was the undersecretary.
No date
It is not known under whose orders
this list was compiled. As regards
the compilation, kind reference is invited
to the remarks of the Avara record
Typescript dt 24/4/1917 printed
"A" Correction of the Police file No 202-1914 abstract 134 p/ put up
The list is ~~recorded~~ to have been
compiled on the basis of information
supplied by the Rajas themselves.
submitted with reference to para 6
kind reference is invited to
Sec
21/7/32

Serial No.

RECEIVING SECTION
3 AUG. 1932
GEN'L & REV SECTT.

para. 9 of the memorandum drawn up in Aug 1988 from which it is clear that even then there was no separate register to show what insignia different units were entitled to carry about or use.

(b) If approved the M.C. will be asked why he recommends more insignias while the D.C. in his letter dt 4/2/32 to M.C. has referred to only two or three insignias.

29/7/32

For Secy)

(a) It may be done

30/7

30/7

(a) done

(b) done 2/8

2 No 253 dt 5.8.32 to the Mysore Commissioner called for additional information.

Letter no 841/31-32 dt 26/8/32 from the Mysore Commissioner stating that his recommendations are entirely the same as those made by the Deputy Commissioner Shimoga in regard to the grant of honours to Sri Rhinanakatte Mull

The information furnished by the M.C. is with reference to items 9 & 10 supra —

Forward —

Returned with reference to

WDC0—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

I Members ~~[illegible]~~ minute at para 6 Supra.

7/9/32

(Sri Seetharamiah)

(Sri Murthy)

8-9-32

1685

It is necessary to Consider 8/9 if the insignias recommended may be allowed. As there are no separate orders regarding the honours to which this matt is entitled the matter has to be examined and decided. What other matts might be taken as of the standing of this matt and what honours are allowed to them may be noted. The Secretariat is no authority for allowing the honours claimed without investigation — Has Matt been allowed the privilege of first Bhiksha at Bangalore. If so the order allowing it may be perused

Murthy 15/9/32

No mention has been made in privilege of a First day's Bhiksha of Rs 50/- to whom No 15 of the list of Mutts issued vide G.O. No 456-66/Misc 128-09-1 dt 13.9.1909. ~~The proposal of a list was called by H.H. Sri Sivaganga Mutt is found included in the list~~.

Murthy 16/9

~~Further vide para 3 / page 3 of the same Circular~~

Sri Akshobhya Theertha Mutt at Kudli

Sri Madhwacharya alias Mulbagal

Sri Sripadaraja alias Manvi Mutt also

Serial No.

& Sri Bhimanakatte Mutt have sent a petition in connection with the disposal of the post of the grant of seven days Bhiksha (vide para 3. page 3 of Note in Mag 128 of 1932 to above). As the above Mutts, it is for Magha (when as of the same Standing as also Sri Mulabagal Mutt of Tirthahalli Tk & Sri Tirthamallina Mutt of the same Taluk

2) For the same, seven sets of Rahuvaras issued to Sri Mulbagal Mutt Sri Majjigehalli Mutt & Sri Adani Mutt have been collected & placed here under. Sri Tirthamallina Mutt, Tirthahalli Tk has claimed the use of Swetachatri & Makaratorana, the claim has been disallowed —

Which of the honours are Royal & which of them are Special is seen from a list put hereafter for perusal

The honours enumerated by the D.C. Shimoga are classified as follows:

Ordinary	Special
Palaki	Swetachatri
Gaggarigame	Nishani
Palanquin	Bhagyachamara
Elephant	Danda
Horses	
Chopdars.	

File No. 172 of 1932.

Royal honour, viz Makarathorana, Aflagiri & Torees are proposed by the D.C. Shimoga for future Consideration.

"Torees" is marked as an ordinary honour in the P.W.D. but as Royal Decoration it is a Royal honour.

Sri Bhimanakatte Mill is a Madhva Mill like Majjigehalli Mill & both these Mills are in receipt of Minor Inam's Bhetta of Rs. 50/- each. The Several Cheers allowed in the Rahadari of Majjigehalli Mill may not be allowed to Sri Bhimanakatte Mill is a matter for consideration. If this is done all of the honours requested by the Swamigals viz Swetachatri & Chamara will have been granted.

Respectfully submitted for orders in the matter of Sri [illegible]

OFFICE
2 NOV 1932
No. 2123

(Sd) 26/10/32

(for Secy)
(1st Nov)

No. 2111/32

Please put up a tabular statement showing the honours allowed & the other marks referred to above, those claimed in this matter, those proposed by the D.C. & those recommended by the M.C.

4/11/32

4/11

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 172 of 193 2

Serial No. 7

Every possible effort was made to find out if Rahadari were issued on any time previously to Sri A Shobhy Tirtha Swamiji & Sri Rajeeva Swamiji of Hubli-Dharwar & No trace on the subject is forthcoming. Dharwar further ascertained informally from the Office of the D.C. that these duties were given to Rahadari as they have been.

Vide Nos 165 2nd & 65 3/Misc. 7. dy 22/4/1902 & No 1433/Misc 280-25=3 dy 11/5/26 respectively further reception records of these two matters during their visit to Bangalore. Rs. Five day's Khurda of Rs. 5/- is given & an escort of 6 Silledars were detailed to attend on Swamis of each matter — Beyond this no further information in the case of these two matters is available —.

A Statement called for in Memo 28 Sept 4 is Filed — 4/8 Keep — 26/11 — 2/

Filed with reply to para 28 above 1/12/32

Fair Survey and Ctr

The recommendations of the 1/12 Mr. may be sanctioned It should be made clear that Legal decorations may also not be worn

Memo No 8401/31-32 dy 11-32 on the matter of communications forwarding petitions from the Agents of the Mutts referred to therein — in the matter

D. No 508 (Simla) from the MC. received & also placed on the file 26/11

2093 — 2/12

3967 — 15/12

NOTE—Contin Sheet.

7. Mtg 204-31

36. The orders of the Legislative Members are submitted for perusal. The file may ~~~~ perhaps be submitted to the Dewan.

DEWAN'S OFFICE
4046
1 U. JAN. 1933

Fin Secy)
31/1/33
6/1

K.P.
7.1.33.

Dewan

9.1.33.

9/1/33

May be submitted to H.H. one of the Royal Honours need be allowed —

6/1/33

9/1/33

P. S. N. Secy

DEWAN'S OFFICE
6210.
17. JAN. 1933

Fin Secy
11/1 —

Put up typed drafts as revised please.

12/1/33

Typist

For approval

13/1/33

OFFICE OF THE
FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL
16 JAN. 1933.
No. 4-S-31

(Fin Secy)
2nd Time)

13/1/33

9/1/33

17.1.33

Final Note by the First Member of Council.

Subject:—

Grant of insignias to the Swamigalu of Sri Bhimanakatte Mutt, Tirthahalli Taluk.

The Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga, has recommended that the Swamigalu of Sri Bhimanakatte Mutt may be allowed the following:-

Ordinary Honors, viz., Pallaki, Gaggarigane, Palanquin, Elephant, Horses and Torches; and Special Honors, viz., Swetachatri, Nishani, Ubhayachamara, and, Danka.
He has added that the question of allowing the two Royal Honors of Aftagiri and Makara Thorana may be reserved for future consideration.

This is the first time that the question of Honors to be allowed to this Mutt comes up for consideration. The Mutt is unable to produce any evidence bearing on the Birudus, if any, enjoyed by it in the past. The Muzrai Commissioner is of opinion that the recommendation made by the Deputy Commissioner may be approved.

This Mutt has been allowed the privilege of the First Day's Biksha of Rs 50/-: vide Government Order No. 756-66/Muz. 128-09-1 dated 13th September 1909. Mutts of similar standing are allowed the Ordinary and Special Honors referred to above: vide comparative statement placed in the file. By Torches which the Deputy Commissioner has included under Ordinary Honors, are apparently meant 'Hagal Deevatiges' which are a Royal Honor. This, and, Aftagiri and Makara Thorana, which are also Royal Honors, need not be allowed to this Mutt.

Page 8 of Notes

51 The Dewan is agreeable to this proposal and it may be graciously approved.

Bangalore,
17th January 1933.

M. S. Venkata Rao
MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

Submitted to His Highness.

I submit herewith a Final Note by the First Member of Council on the subject of grant of insignias to the Swamigalu of Sri Bhimanakatte Mutt, Thirthahalli Taluk,

for His Highness' gracious approval.

Mirza M. Ismail
Dewan.

17-1-33

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

Grant of insignias to the Swamigalu of Sri Bhimankatte Mutt, Thirthahalli Taluk.

368

Order.

~~KRW~~

19/1/33

Copy

20.1.33

20/1/33

364

Mercy 172-32

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Grant of insignia to the Swamigalu of Sri Pechimara Katte Mutt, Thirthahalli Tk.

(*)

31 All urgent or confidential files returned with His Highness orders may in the absence of instructions or indications to the contrary be ordinarily circulated to the Members of Council for perusal after the issue of orders

33 The Secretaries concerned should see that orders in the cases referred to above are issued at once and that the files are returned immediately after to the Chief Secretary for circulation to the Members

34 In all other cases the files will first be circulated to the Members of Council at Headquarters and then sent to the Secretariat concerned for the issue of orders

Circulated to Members of Council for perusal of His Highness' orders before/after issue of orders (*Vide* Rules 31, 33 and 34 of the Subsidiary Rules for the conduct of business of Council in the Manual of Council Rules and Procedure)

*

5/11

Chief Secretary to Government

Through the Secretary to Government,

Mercy Department. 6/11/11

4709/23

FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL. Merc 24/11/11

5889/24

SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. by 24/11/11

Final Secy with Comps.

NOTE.—Contd sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 172 of 1932

Serial No.

61 Opn as per final note at 26 ante

Revised draft Lr to Govt

Keeo 26/1/33

For approval

Fin Secy) 26/1/33

TYPING SECTION 28. JAN 1933 GENL. & REV. SECTT.

27/1/33

28/1/33

5 G.O. No 1132-45 of 31.1.33 Sanctioning the grant of certain honours to the Sowcarpalem of Sri Bhimanakatte Mutt-Tirthahalli Taluk

Kind reference is invited to letter No 8413 1/11/32 from the M.C. forwarding the petition dt 7-10-32 from the Agent of Sri Bhimanakatte Mutt requesting exemption from payment of tolls on carts of the Mutt vide para 7 of Govt L 1541/L B 217-27-2 of 20/8/28. It is submitted that the Mutt toll automatically loses this exemption as soon as a Sanad is issued as the order issued regarding lapsed sanads by the M.C. (vide para 4 of M.C's letter of 7-8-32). In this view no further action appears called for. The enclosures to the letter under acknowledgement are returned herewith.

Keeo 1/2/33

No further action is necessary on the papers (Flag G).

A. Maybaum. 2/2/33

Fin Secy)

We may write to the M.C. in the sense of the order proposed.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

Secr File No. 172 of 1932.

already already passed and stating that it is presumed that it is therefore unnecessary to pass any orders ~~regarding~~ on the reference from him referred to above

Sy
15/2
15/2



Order need

Sy
24/2

No 1277 of 28 2-33 to the Collector 6
Commissioner returning the petitions received from the Agent of Sri Bhimanakatte Mutt Tirthahalli Taluk for reasons set forth therein. JS
28/2

5341

GEN'L. & REV. DEPT. 55 9. JUL. 1932 Mines.

No. 841/31-32 Encl One

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore, 5th July 193 2

817

FROM S. P. Rajagopalachari, Esq., P.A., P.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

172 Muzrai 22-32-1

To

S. Shamanna, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.
Financial *Department*

SIR,

Referring to para 6 of this office letter No.C.841/31-32 dated 26-2-32 re: grant of a Rahadari etc., to the Swamigalu of the Sri Bhimanakatte Mutt, I have the honor to state that the claim of the Mutt for a provincial Rahadari is based upon a Nirup dated 18th January 1812 granted by His Highness the late Maharaja Krishnaraja Wadeyar Bahadur III. It is said that the original of this is not to be found in the Mutt records. In your letter No.1274/Muz. dated 15/16-3-1932 it has been stated that reference to the Rahadari is not to be found in the Sha-amal or any of the dairies containing abstract of orders. Even granting that the Nirup is genuine, it cannot act as a guide to determine to what birudus the Swamies of this Mutt are entitled, as its terms are confined only to the supply of provisions, providing of accomodation etc., during the Swamy's itinerary. The matter has therefore to be determined with reference to other data.

2) In para 8 of his letter dated 4-2-32 (copy sent with this office letter dated 26-2-32) the Deputy Commissioner states that the Swamigalu proposes to carry శీలే ఛత్రి, చామర, తురేం బి, నగారా — (not carried on any animal) వాద్య, 2 guns, 1 revolver and 2 swords. In respect of the latter three items, the late Swamigalu was given an exemption from the operations of the Arms Regulation. The Secretariat compilation of Birudus makes mention of the following;-

1 Swetachatri, 2 Makarathorana 3 Palaki, 4 Nishani, 5 6 Gaggarigane, 7 Palanquin, 8 Ubhayachamara, 9 Danka, 10 Elephant 11 horses, 12 Torches, and 13 Chopdars. Of these Nos. 2, 5 and 1 are royal birudus; 1, 4, 8 and 9 are special and the remaining 3, 6, 7, 10, 11 and 12 are ordinary ones.

The Mutt authorities have not adduced any evidence to prove previous enjoyment of these Birudus.

The Deputy Commissioner of the Shimoga District, who was requested to furnish his opinion in the matter recommends that, taking the position and the standing of the present Swamigalu, all the ordinary honours, viz., 3, 6, 7, 10, 11 and 12 and the Special Honours viz., 1, 4, 8 and 9 may be included in the Rahadari for the present reserving the Royal honours for future consideration. A copy of his letter dated 12-5-32 is enclosed. I request that the Deputy Commissioner's recommendation may be approved. On receipt of orders a Rahadari in the usual terms will be issued.

As regards the reception to be accorded to the Swamigalu on his entry into Bangalore City, the Swamies of this Mutt are entitled to a first day's bhiksha of Rs 50/-. This Mutt may perhaps be considered to come under Class IV so far as honours to be accorded in Bangalore are considered and classed along with the Sri Akshobhya Thirtha Swami Mutt at Kudli, Sri Majjigehalli Mutt at Kolar and Sri Sripadarayaswami Mutt at Mulbagal. These latter mutts are entitled to the payment of a first day's bhiksha of Rs 50/- and an escort of 6 Silledars without a set of Military bandsmen. As in the case of the Sripadarayaswami Mutt the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District and a representative of the City Municipality will have to meet the Swamigalu on arrival and a set of Municipal pipers will have also to be deputed to accompany the procession. I request the favour of early orders in the matter.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

P Rajagopal

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.Muz.C.1104/31-32 dated 12-5-32 from the Deputy Commissione Shimoga District,. to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to your No.C.841/31-32 dated the 1st/5th April 1932 and subsequent reminder dated the 7th May 1932 calling for my opinion about the grant for Royal and Special insignias to the Sri Swamigalavaru of the Bhimanakatte Mutt, I have the honor to state that the information called for from the Sub Division Officer, Shimoga, has not been received but from the enquiries made of the Agent who is in Shimoga at present, it is learnt that the colour of the Nishani is "Kavi" and that the Danka will be carried on a camel. These two will therefore fall under the c category of special honours. Taking the position and standing of the present Swamigalu, I think all the ordinary honours viz., 3, 6, 7, 10, 11 and 12 and the special honours viz., 1, 4, 8 and 9 noted in your letter under reference may be included in the Bahadari for the present, reserving the Royal honours for future consideration.

"COPY"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Mily

File No. 172 S. & J. 32

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to ..... No. 255/Mily.

To

The M.C.

Subject:- Grant of honours to Sri Bhimanakatte Mutt

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 5.8.32

W.B. Muddaka-Shwatha

Signed by .....

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 841/31-32 of 7.7.32 on the above subject, I am directed to request you to be good enough to state why the Mysore insignias have been recommended by you ~~to be conferred~~ to the said mutt, while the D.C. Shimoga in his letter of 4.2.32 addressed to you has referred to only 2 or 3 insignias - Mr. Jam. Accepts Mysore Emblems. At an early date is requested.

I am etc

3/8

for Secy to Govt

Mily Dept

List of enclosures ....

Copied by ... ...

Examined by ....

WD 71—GBPM—40,000—20-7-31

3/8

20.

F
26 AUG.
No.

No. 841/31-32.

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

K. 210: 259
27.8.32

Dated, Bangalore, 24th August 1932
26.

FROM

S. P. Rajagopalachari, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Muz 172-32-3

To

S. Shamanna, Esquire., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.
Financial *Department.*

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.255-Muz.22-32-2 dated 3/5th August 1932, re: the grant of honours to the Sri Bhimankatte Matha, I have the honor to state that my recommendations are entirely the same as those made by the Deputy Commissioner. You are referring - to a previous letter of the Deputy Commissioner dated 4th February 1932 but as this was found to be vague, the Deputy Commissioner was asked to investigate the matter fully. He then submitted a further - recommendation on 12th May 1932 copy of which was already sent to - you with my original reference. The Deputy Commissioner's recommendations as regards honours were in accordance with the Secretariat compilation of honours and they were therefore recommended to Government for adoption. It is not clear how it is made out that I have recommended more than the Deputy Commissioner.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S. Rajagopal

Muzrai Commissioner.

M. C. 13]

No. 841/31-32
Encl 2

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

1/11/32

Dated, Bangalore,...27th..October.....1932.

FROM

S. P. RAJAGOPALACHARI, ESQ., B.A.,
~~S.X.EMTRAYNNAIYAR, ESQ., M.A., B.L.,~~
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

GENL & FEV. BR 508 3 NOV 1932 MUZRAI.

To

Mcorg 172-32-4

.....S....Shamanna,...Esq..,...B.A.,.....
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.
.....Financial..... .....*Department.*

SIR,

Referring to correspondence ending with this office letter No. C.841/31-32 dated 26th August 1932 re: the issue of a provincial rahadari etc., to the Swamigalu of the Sri Sheemanakatte Mutt, I have the honor to forward herewith a petition dated 7th October 1932 together with its enclosure received from the Agent and to solicit the favour of very early orders in the matter.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

Vide flag A. Tour of the Swami in the Taulkas indicated. It is not clear how the can be maintained in the absence of a Rahadari.

The file relating to the grant of insignia (D. No 259) was sent to first member of Council on 1/11. This draft is also submitted for confirmation.

If agreed, the M.C. will be informed that orders of Govt. to the grant of insignia of the Mate may be awaited

28/11

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read :—

Government Order No.756-66/ ws.150-58-1 dated 15th September 1959, allowing Sri Dheemanketha Gatt the privilege of the First Boy's Ticket of Rs.50/- from Government.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No.'41/31-32 dated 26th August 1932, from the Deputy Commissioner, stating that the Swamiguru of Sri Dheemanketha Gatti, Thirthahalli Taluk, intends going over to Bangalore for higher studies and requesting, in the circumstances explained, approval to the recommendation of the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District, for the grant of certain honours to the Swamiguru of the Gatti and recommending, in regard to the reception to be accorded to the Swamiguru on his entry into Bangalore City, that the Swamiguru may be allowed an escort of 2 Silladars without a set of Military Pensioners, the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District, and a representative of the City Municipality being asked to meet the Swamiguru on arrival and a set of Municipal Pipers deputed to accompany the procession.

Order No. 1132-45 / Misc 172-32-5

Bangalore, the 3/8 January 1933.

Government have carefully considered the recommendation made by the Deputy Commissioner and are pleased to sanction the following honours to the Swamiguru of Sri Dheemanketha Gatti :-

Special honours.

(1) Pattachatri.
(2) Nishani.
(3) Dharmachakra and
(4) Gadde.

Ordinary honours.

(1) Vellanki.
(2) Gangapigme.
(3) Palanquin.
(4) Jegbeat and
(5) Horses.

Government are also pleased to approve of the recommendation of the Deputy Commissioner regarding the reception to be accorded on the occasion of his entry into Bangalore City.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Mysore,

[illegible]
Personal Secretary to Government.

To

The Luzrat Commissioner in Mysore.
" Inspector General of Police in Mysore.
" Chief Commandent, Mysore State Troops, Bangalore.
" Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.
" District Magistrates of Districts.
" Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City.
" The President, City Municipal Council.
Bangalore.

. .R-9/20-1-33.

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Section Mes3
File No. 172-32
Serial No. 5

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 31.1. 1933.

Signed by Mr. B. Muddu Krishnaiya

Read— fo No. 756-66/Mez. 128-09-1 dy 13.9.1909 allowing Sri Bheemaa Katte Mutt the privilege of the First day's Biksha of Rs. 50/- from 1909.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. 841/31-32 dy 26-8-1932 from the Mc stating that the Swamiyaru of Sri Bheemaa Katte Muthathalli Jc Mutt, intends going over to Bangalore for higher studies and requesting, in the circumstances explained, approval to the recommendation of the Dc Shimoga Dr., for the grant of certain honours to the

May this be—

printed ?

treated as a standing order ?

published in the Gazette, English Part ?

translated ?

laced at the disposal of the press ?

ıblished in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Swamiyar of the Mutt and
recommending, ~~regarding~~ in regard to the
reception to be accorded to the
Swamiyar on his ~~arrival~~ entry into
Bangalore city, that the Swamiyar
may be allowed an escort of
6 Silledars with a set of
Military Bandsmen ~~and that~~
the DC of the Bangalore Dr., and
a representative of the City
Municipality ~~will have to~~ be asked to
meet the Swamiyar on arrival
& a set of Municipal Pipers
deputed to accompany the
procession.

Order:— No. 1132-45 of 30.1.33

Govt have carefully Con-
sidered the recommendation made
by the MC and are pleased
to sanction the following honours
to the Swamiyar of the Sri
Bheemankatte Mutt:—

List of Enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

G. R. S. App F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

Special honours

(1) Sivta chatri
(2) Nishani
(3) Ubhaya chamara and
(4) Dauka

Ordinary honours

(1) Pallakki
(2) Gaggarigane
(3) Palanquin
(4) Elephant and
(5) Horses.

Govt are also pleased to approve of the recommendation of the RC as regards the reception ~~of~~ to be accorded to the Dewan of Travancore on his ~~arrival~~ coming into Bangalore city

26/1/33

Secretary to Govt

Recd 26/1/33

To

The RC.
" Dy. I. of Police
" Chief Engineer & M.S.T
" D.C. Bangalore Dt.
" District Magistrate of Kolar
" Mr. Canter, Private Secretary to the Executive Council (through the President)

Copy to Police Secretary for information

1277

Muz 172-32-6

27th February

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

With reference to your letter No. 841/31-32 dated 1st November 1932, forwarding a petition dated 7th October 1932 from the Agent of Sri Bhimanakatte Mutt, Tirthahalli Taluk, requesting ~~regarding~~ the issue of needful instructions to the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, for exemption of their carts of Sri Bhimanakatte Mutt from payment of tolls during the tour of the Swamigalu in the District, I am directed to invite reference to Government Order No. 1132-45/Muz. 172-32-5 dated 31st ~~Maxam~~ January 1933 sanctioning the grant of honours to the Swamigalu of Sri Mutt and to state that it is presumed that it is unnecessary to pass any orders on your letter under advertence.

The enclosures are returned herewith.

I have the honour to be,
Sir
Your most obedient servant,

for Financial Secretary to Government.

Aga/2

.....

.....

.....

Section Three

File No. 172-32

Serial No. 6

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 1279 Endorsement

To

The M.C

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 841/31-32 dt. 1.11.32 forwarding a petn. dt. 7.10.32 from the Agent of Sri Bhimanakatte Mutt Timmalli TK requesting the issue of necessary instructions to the D.C. Tumkur for granting exemption from payment of tolls on the Cart of Sri Bhimanakatte Mutt during the term of the lease, I am directed to inform you that the petn. dt. 7.10.32 has been referred to the Collector of Tumkur for report vide this office Endorsement No. 1132-45/Three/172-32-5.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193

Date of issue 28/2/33

Signed by Mr B. Mudalakrishnappa

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WD 71—GRPM—40,000—20-7-31

Of 31.1.33 I undertake to grant
a hundred pounds ~~per annum~~ to the
Incorporated Law Society
Note that it is presumed that
it is unnecessary to trouble any other
~~Government Department~~ on your
letter under advertence.
The enclosure are herewith
returned -

Yours etc
24/2/33
Jr Hsce

Gr
Law